arXiv:0809.3835v1 [math.AP] 23 Sep 2008

INTRODUCTION TO SCATTERING FOR RADIAL $3D$ NLKG BELOW ENERGY NORM

TRISTAN ROY

Abstract. We prove scattering for the radial nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \partial_{tt} u - \Delta u + u & = & -|u|^{p-1} u \\ u(0,x) & = & u_0(x) \\ \partial_t u(0,x) & = & u_1(x) \end{array} \right.$$

with $5 > p > 3$ and data $(u_0, u_1) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$, $1 > s > 1 - \frac{(5-p)(p-3)}{2(p-1)(p-2)}$ if $4 \geq p > 3$ and $1 > s > 1 - \frac{(5-p)^2}{2(p-1)(6-p)}$ if $5 > p \geq 4$. First we prove Strichartz-type estimates in $L_t^q L_x^r$ spaces. Then by using these decays we establish some local bounds. By combining these results to a Morawetz-type estimate and a radial Sobolev inequality we control the variation of an almost conserved quantity on arbitrary large intervals. Once we have showed that this quantity is controlled, we prove that some of these local bounds can be upgraded to global bounds. This is enough to establish scattering. All the estimates involved require a delicate analysis due to the nature of the nonlinearity and the lack of scaling.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the p- defocusing Klein-Gordon equation on $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$\partial_{tt} u - \Delta u + u \quad = -|u|^{p-1} u \tag{1.1}$$

with data $u(0) = u_0$, $\partial_t u(0) = u_1$ lying in H^s, H^{s-1} respectively. Here H^s is the standard inhomogeneous Sobolev space i.e H^s is the completion of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^s} \quad := \| <D>^s f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \tag{1.2}$$

where $<D>$ is the operator defined by

$$\widehat{<D>^s} f(\xi) \quad := (1 + |\xi|)^s \hat{f}(\xi) \tag{1.3}$$

and $\hat{f}$ denotes the Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\xi) \quad := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \, dx \tag{1.4}$$

We are interested in the strong solutions of the p- defocusing Klein-Gordon equation on some interval $[0,T]$ i.e maps u, $\partial_t u$ that lie in $C\left([0,\, T],\, H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)\right)$, $C\left([0,\, T],\, H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)\right)$ respectively and that satisfy

$$u(t) = \cos{(t <D>)} u_0 + \frac{\sin(t<D>)}{<D>} u_1 - \int_0^t \frac{\sin\Big((t-t^{'})<D>\Big)}{<D>} |u|^{p-1}(t^{'}) u(t^{'})\, dt^{'} \tag{1.5}$$

The p- defocusing Klein-Gordon equation is closely related to the p- defocusing wave equation i.e

$$\partial_{tt} v - \triangle v = -|v|^{p-1} v \tag{1.6}$$

with data $v(0) = v_0$, $\partial_t v(0) = v_1$. (1.6) enjoys the following scaling property

$$\begin{array}{ll} v(t,x) & \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}}} u\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}, \frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \\ v_0(x) & \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}}} u_0\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \\ v_1(x) & \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}+1}} u_1\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \end{array} \tag{1.7}$$

We define the critical exponent $s_c := \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}$. One can check that the $\dot{H}^{s_c} \times \dot{H}^{s_c-1}$ norm of (u_0, u_1) is invariant under the transformation (1.7) [1]. (1.6) was demonstrated to be locally well-posed by Lindblad and Sogge [7] in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$, $s > \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}$, $p > 3$ by using an iterative argument. In fact their results extend immediately to (1.1) [2].

If $p = 5$ then $s_c = 1$ and this is why we say that that the nonlinearity $|u|^{p-1}u$ is $\dot{H}^1$ critical. If $3 < p < 5$ then $s_c < 1$ and the regime is $\dot{H}^1$ subcritical.

It is well-known that smooth solutions to (1.1) have a conserved energy

$$E(u(t)) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t u(t,x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t,x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{p+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t,x)|^{p+1} \, dx \tag{1.8}$$

In fact by standard limit arguments the energy conservation law remains true for solutions $(u, \partial_t u) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$, $s \geq 1$.

Since the lifespan of the local solution depends only on the $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ norm of the initial data (u_0, u_1) (see [7]) then it suffices to find an a priori pointwise in time bound in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ of the solution $(u, \partial_t u)$ to establish global well-posedness. The energy captures the evolution in time of the $H^1 \times L^2$ norm of the solution. Since it is conserved we have global existence of (1.1).

The scattering theory (namely, the existence of the bijective wave operators) in the energy space [3] for (1.1) has been extensively studied for a large range of exponents p. In particular Brenner [1, 2] was able to prove that if $\frac{7}{3} < p < 5$, then every solution scatters as T goes to infinity. In fact he showed scattering for all dimension n, $n \geq 3$ and for all exponent p that is $\dot{H}^1$ subcritical and L^2 supercritical [4], i.e $1 + \frac{4}{n} < p < 1 + \frac{4}{n-2}$. Later Nakanishi ([10], [11]) was able to extend these results to $n = 1$ and 2.

---

[1] Here $\dot{H}^m$ denotes the standard homogeneous Sobolev space endowed with the norm $\|f\|_{\dot{H}^m} := \|D^m f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$

[2] by rewriting for example (1.1) in the "wave" form $\partial_{tt} u - \triangle u = -|u|^{p-1}u - u$

[3] i.e with data $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1 \times L^2$

[4] since if $p > 1 + \frac{4}{n}$ then $s_c > 0$

In this paper we are interested in proving scattering results for data below the energy norm i.e for $s < 1$. We will assume that (1.1) has radial data. The main result of this paper is the following one

Theorem 1.1. *The p-radial defocusing Klein-Gordon equation on* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *is globally well-posed in* $H^s \times H^{s-1}$, $1 > s > s(p)$ *and there exists a scattering state* $(u_{+,0}, u_{+,1}) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$ *such that*

(1.9)
$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \| \, (u(T), \partial_t u(T)) - \Big(\cos{(T <D>)} u_{+,0} + \frac{\sin{(T<D>)}}{<D>} u_{+,1} \Big) \, \|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} \quad = 0$$

Here $3 < p < 5$ *and*

$$s_p \quad := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 - \frac{(5-p)(p-3)}{2(p-1)(p-2)}, \, 3 < p \leq 4 \\ 1 - \frac{(5-p)^2}{2(p-1)(6-p)}, \, 4 \leq p < 5 \end{array} \right. \tag{1.10}$$

We set some notation that appear throughout the paper.
We write $A = A\left(v, \|u_0\|_{H^s}, \, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}, \, a_1, ..., a_n, \, \right)$ if A depends on a function v, the norm of the initial data and some parameters a_1, ... ,a_n. Given $B = B\left(v, \|u_0\|_{H^s}, \, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}, \, b_1, \, b_2, \, ..., b_l\right)$ and $C = (v, \|u_0\|_{H^s}, \, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}, \, c_1, \, c_2, \, ..., \, c_m)$, $B \lesssim C$ means that there exists a constant $K = K\left(b_1, \, ..., \, b_l, \, c_1, \, ..., \, c_m, \, \|u_0\|_{H^s}, \, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}\right)$ that does not depend on v and such that $A \leq KB$. Sometimes we write $A \lesssim_{q_1, \, q_2, \, ...} B$ if we want to stress upon the fact that the constant K depends on q_1, q_2,.... We say that K_0 is the constant determined by $\lesssim$ in the inequality $B \lesssim C$ if K_0 is the smallest K such that $B \leq KC$ is true. We write $B \sim C$ when $B \lesssim C$ and $C \lesssim B$. $A << B$ denotes $A \leq KB$ for some universal constant $K < \frac{1}{100}$. We say that a number α is small if there exists a constant $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(p)$ [5] such that $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$ and $\alpha_0 < \frac{1}{100}$. Given a and M two real numbers we denote by M^{a+}, M^{a-} the number $M^{a+f(\alpha)}$, $M^{a-f(\alpha)}$ respectively with α small and f some function such that $f(\alpha)$ non negative and $\lim\limits_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(\alpha) = 0$. If an inequality involves M^{a+} or M^{a-} we do not try at first to determine the function f in order to avoid too many complicated computations. However we also write the same inequality into square brackets with an explicit formula for f for the reader interested in the details. For instance $f(\alpha) = \alpha(p+2)$ and N^{+} means $N^{\alpha(p+2)}$ for α small in the inequality (2.15): this is indicated in (2.16). If an inequality involves several slight variations we might be interested in comparing them in order to determine after simplification what the sign of the total variation is. For instance assume that we want to simplify the fraction $X := \frac{N^{1+5\alpha}}{N^{2\alpha}}$. If we rewrite X in the symbolic form $\frac{N^{1+}}{N^{+}}$ then we cannot conclude. This is why we will write it in the following form $\frac{N^{1++}}{N^{+}}$ so that we can conclude that $X = N^{1+} = N^{1+3\alpha}$.

Let ∇ denote the gradient operator. Let s_c, θ_1,...,θ_3 denote the following numbers

$$s_c \quad := \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1} \tag{1.11}$$

---

[5] with p defined in (1.1)

$$\theta_1 := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{(2s-1)(4-p)}{s(p-1)(p-2)}, \; 3 < p \leq 4 \\ \frac{(4s-1)(p-4)}{s(p-1)(6-p)}, \; 4 \leq p < 5 \end{array} \right. \tag{1.12}$$

$$\theta_2 := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{(p+2)(p-3)}{(p-1)(p-2)}, \; 3 < p \leq 4 \\ \frac{(p+2)(5-p)}{(6-p)(p-1)}, \; 4 \leq p < 5 \end{array} \right. \tag{1.13}$$

and

$$\theta_3 := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{4-p}{s(p-1)(p-2)}, \; 3 < p \leq 4 \\ \frac{p-4}{s(p-1)(6-p)}, \; 4 \leq p < 5 \end{array} \right. \tag{1.14}$$

We write $F(v)$ for the following function

$$F(v) := |v|^{p-1} v \tag{1.15}$$

Let I be the following multiplier

$$\widehat{If}(\xi) := m(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi) \tag{1.16}$$

where $m(\xi) := \eta\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right)$, η is a smooth, radial, nonincreasing in $|\xi|$ such that

$$\eta(\xi) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1, \; |\xi| \leq 1 \\ \left(\frac{1}{|\xi|}\right)^{1-s}, \; |\xi| \geq 2 \end{array} \right. \tag{1.17}$$

and $N >> 1$ is a dyadic number playing the role of a parameter to be chosen. We shall abuse the notation and write $m(|\xi|)$ for $m(\xi)$, thus for instance $m(N) = 1$.

Some estimates that we establish throughout the paper require a Paley-Littlewood decomposition. We set it up now. Let $\phi(\xi)$ be a real, radial, nonincreasing function that is equal to 1 on the unit ball $\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |\xi| \leq 1\right\}$ and that that is supported on $\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |\xi| \leq 2\right\}$. Let ψ denote the function

$$\psi(\xi) := \phi(\xi) - \phi(2\xi) \tag{1.18}$$

If $(M, M_1, M_2) \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ are dyadic numbers such that $M_2 > M_1$ we define the Paley-Littlewood operators in the Fourier domain by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \widehat{P_{\leq M} f}(\xi) & := \phi\left(\frac{\xi}{M}\right)\hat{f}(\xi) \\ \widehat{P_M f}(\xi) & := \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{M}\right)\hat{f}(\xi) \\ \widehat{P_{>M} f}(\xi) & := \hat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{P_{\leq M} f}(\xi) \\ \widehat{P_{<<M} f}(\xi) & := \widehat{P_{\leq \frac{M}{128}} f}(\xi) \\ \widehat{P_{\gtrsim M} f}(\xi) & := \widehat{P_{> \frac{M}{128}} f}(\xi) \\ P_{M_1 <. \leq M_2} f & := P_{\geq M_2} f - P_{<M_1} f \end{array} \tag{1.19}$$

Since $\sum_{M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{M}\right) = 1$ we have

$$f \quad = \sum_{M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} P_M f \tag{1.20}$$

Notice also that

$$f \quad = P_{\lesssim M} f + P_{\gtrsim M} f \tag{1.21}$$

It T is a multiplier with nonnegative symbol m then $T^{\frac{1}{2}}$ denotes then multiplier with symbol $m^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For instance $\widehat{P_M^{\frac{1}{2}} f}(\xi) = \psi^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\xi}{M} \right) \widehat{f}(\xi)$.

Throughout this paper we constantly use Strichartz-type estimates . Notice that some Strichartz estimates for the Klein-Gordon equation already exist in Besov spaces [5]. Here we have chosen to work in the $L_t^q L_x^r$ spaces in order to avoid too many technicalities. The following proposition is proved in Section 7

Proposition 1.2. ***"Strichartz estimates for Klein-Gordon equations in $L_t^q L_x^r$ spaces"*** *Assume that u satisfies the following Klein-Gordon equation on $\mathbb{R}^d$*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \partial_{tt} u - \Delta u + u & = & Q \\ u(0,x) & = & u_0(x) \\ \partial_t u(0,x) & = & u_1(x) \end{array} \right. \tag{1.22}$$

Let $T \geq 0$. Then

(1.23)

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q([0,T]) L_x^r} + \|\partial_t <D>^{-1} u\|_{L_t^q([0,T]) L_x^q} + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty([0,T]) H^m} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L_t^\infty([0,T],\, H^{m-1})}$$

$$\lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^m} + \|u_1\|_{H^{m-1}} + \|Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}}([0,T]) L_x^{\tilde{r}}}$$

under the following assumptions

- *(q,r) is m- wave admissible, i.e (q,r) lies in the set $\mathcal{W}$ of wave-admissible points*

  $$\mathcal{W} \quad := \left\{ (q,r) : (q,r) \in (2,\infty] \times [2,\infty),\ \frac{1}{q} + \frac{d-1}{2r} \leq \frac{d-1}{4} \right\} \tag{1.24}$$

  it obeys the following constraint

  $$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{d}{r} \quad = \frac{d}{2} - m \tag{1.25}$$

  and, if $d \geq 3$

  $$(q,r) \quad \neq \left(2,\ \frac{2(d-1)}{d-3} \right) \tag{1.26}$$

- *$(\tilde{q},\tilde{r})$ lies in the dual set $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ of $\mathcal{W}$ i.e*

  $$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \quad := \left\{ (\tilde{q},\tilde{r}) : \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{1}{q} = 1,\ \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} + \frac{1}{r} = 1 \right\} \tag{1.27}$$

  and it satisfies the following inequality

  $$\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{d}{\tilde{r}} - 2 \quad = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{d}{r} \tag{1.28}$$

Remark 1.3. *Notice that the constraints that* $(q, r, \tilde{q}, \tilde{r})$ *must satisfy are essentially the same to those in the Strichartz estimates for the wave equation* [7]. *These similarities are not that surprising. Indeed the relevant operator is* $e^{it<D>}$, e^{itD} *for the Klein-Gordon, wave equations respectively* [6]. *They are similar to each other on high frequencies.*

Now we explain the main ideas of this paper.

Our first objective is to establish global well-posedness of (1.1) for data in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$,$1 > s > s(p)$. Unfortunately since the solution lies in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ pointwise in time the energy (1.8) is infinite. Therefore we introduce the following mollified energy

$$E\left(Iu(t)\right) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left|\partial_t Iu(t,x)\right|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |DIu(t,x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Iu(t,x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{p+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Iu(t,x)|^{p+1} dx \tag{1.29}$$

This is the I method originally designed by J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka and T. Tao [4] to study global existence for rough solutions of semilinear Schrödinger equations. Since the multiplier gets closer to the identity operator as the parameter N goes to infinity [7] we expect the variation of the smoothed energy to approach zero as N grows. However it is not equal to zero and it needs to be controlled on an arbitrary large interval. The semilinear Schrödinger and Wave equations have a scaling property. In [4, 16] the authors were able after scaling to make the mollified energy at time zero smaller than one. Then by using the Strichartz estimates they locally bounded some numbers that allowed them to find an upper bound of its local variation. Iterating the process they managed to yield an upper bound [8] of its total variation. Choosing appropriately the parameter N they bounded it by a constant. Unfortunately the p-defocusing Klein-Gordon equation does not have any scaling symmetry. We need to control the variation of (1.29) by a fixed quantity. A natural choice is a constant $C > 1$ multiplied by the mollified energy $E(Iu_0) := E(Iu(0))$ at time zero. It occurs that this is possible if $E(Iu_0)$ is bounded by a constant depending on the parameter N: see (2.20) and (2.22). But Proposition 2.1 shows that $E(Iu_0)$ is bounded by a power of N. Therefore we can choose N to control the mollified energy as long as $s > s(p)$. Since the pointwise in time $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ norm of the solution is bounded by the mollified energy (see (2.33)) we have global well-posedness.

Now we are interested in proving asymptotic completeness by using the I-method. Notice that this method has already been used in [16] to prove scattering below the energy norm for semilinear Schrödinger equations with a power type nonlinearity. We would like to establish (1.9). Notice first that if this result is true then it implies that the pointwise in time $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ bound of the solution is bounded by a function that does not depend on time. Therefore in view of the previous paragraph, the variation of the smoothed energy should not depend on time T. To this end we use some tools. Recall that this variation is estimated by using local bounds of some quantities, namely some $Z_{m,s}$ s (see Proposition 2.2). We divide the whole interval $[0, T]$ into subintervals where the $L_t^{p+2} L_x^{p+2}$ of Iu is small and we control these numbers on them by the Strichartz estimates and a continuity argument. Notice that in this process we are not allowed to create powers of time T [9] since it will eventually force us to choose N as a function of T. We also need to control the $L_t^{p+2}L_x^{p+2}$ norm of the solution on $[0,T]$. Morawetz and Strauss [8, 9] proved a weighted long time estimate (see (5.8)) depending on the energy. Combining this result to a radial Sobolev inequality (see (2.27)) [10] we can control the $L_t^{p+2}L_x^{p+2}$ norm of u by some power of the energy. Of course since the solution lies in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$, $s<1$ we cannot use this inequality as such. Instead we prove an almost Morawetz-Strauss estimate (see Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.5) by substituting u for Iu in the establishment of (5.8). This approach was already used in [15]. Notice here that the upper bound of (2.28) does not depend on T either. The almost conservation law (see Proposition 2.3) is proved in Section 3 by performing a low-high frequency decomposition and using the smoothness of F [11] when we estimate the low frequency part of the variation. Combining all these tools we are able to iterate and globally bound the mollified energy and the $L_t^{p+2}L_x^{p+2}$ norm of u by a function of N and the data. These global results allow us to update a local control of the $Z_{m,s}$ s to a global one. It occurs that scattering holds if some integrals are finite. By using the global control of the $Z_{m,s}$ s in the Cauchy criterion we prove these facts. This is enough to establish scattering.

---

[6] with D multiplier defined by $\widehat{Df}(\xi) := |\xi| \widehat{f}(\xi)$

[7] formally speaking

[8] depending on N, the time and the initial data

Acknowledgements : The author would like to thank Terence Tao for suggesting him this problem.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 assuming that the following propositions are true.

Proposition 2.1. ***"Mollified energy at time* 0 *is bounded by* $N^{2(1-s)}$*"*** *Assume that* $s_c < s < 1$. *Then*

$$E(Iu_0) \quad \lesssim N^{2(1-s)} \left(\|u_0\|^2_{H^s} + \|u_1\|^2_{H^{s-1}} + \|u_0\|^{p+1}_{H^s} \right) \tag{2.1}$$

Proposition 2.2. ***"Local Boundedness"*** *Assume that* u *satisfies (1.1). Let* $\mathcal{M} = [0,s] \cup \{1-\}$. *There exists* $N = N(\|u_0\|_{H^s}, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}) >> 1$ *such that if* J, *time interval satisfies*

$$\sup_{t \in J} E(Iu(t)) \quad \leq 3E(Iu_0) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\|Iu\|_{L_t^{p+2}(J)L_x^{p+2}} \quad \leq \frac{1}{N^{++}(E(Iu_0))^{\frac{1-\theta_2}{2\theta_2}}} \tag{2.3}$$

then

$$Z(J,u) \quad \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) \tag{2.4}$$

---

[9] by using Hölder locally in time

[10] this is the only place where we rely crucially on the assumption of spherical symmetry

[11] namely F is C^1 if $p > 3$

Here $m = 1 - \alpha$, $N^{++} = N^{2\alpha}$ *with* α *small and, given a function* v, $Z(J,v)$, $Z_{m,s}(J,v)$ *denote the following quantities*

$$Z(J,v) := \sup_{m \in \mathcal{M}} Z_{m,s}(J,v) \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$Z_{m,s}(J,v) := \sup_{\substack{(q,r)-m \\ wave\, adm}} \| \partial_t <D>^{-m} Iv \|_{L_t^q(J) L_x^r} + \| <D>^{1-m} Iv \|_{L_t^q(J) L_x^r} \tag{2.6}$$

Proposition 2.3. ***"Almost Conservation Law "*** *Assume that* u *satisfies (1.1). Let* $J = [a,b]$ *be a time interval. Let* $3 \leq p < 5$ *and* $s \geq \frac{3p-5}{2p}$. *Then*

$$|\sup_{t \in J} E(Iu(t)) - E(Iu(a))| \lesssim \frac{Z^{p+1}(J,u)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \tag{2.7}$$

Here $N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-} = N^{\frac{5-p}{2} - \alpha(p-1)}$ *with* α *small.*

Remark 2.4. *Notice that if* $p = 3$ *then the upper bound is* $O\left(\frac{1}{N^{1-}}\right)$ *modulo* $Z^{p+1}(J,u)$. *This result has already been established in* [15] *for a slighly different problem, i.e the defocusing cubic wave equation by using a multilinear analysis.*

Proposition 2.5. ***"Estimate of integrals"*** *Let* J *be a time interval. Let* v *be a function. Then for* $i = 1,2$ *we have*

$$|R_i(J,v)| \lesssim \frac{Z^{p+1}(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \tag{2.8}$$

with

$$R_1(J,v) := \int_J \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\nabla Iv(t,x).x}{|x|} \left(F(Iv) - IF(v) \right) dxdt \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$R_2(J,v) := \int_J \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Iv(t,x)}{|x|} \left(F(Iv) - IF(v) \right) dxdt \tag{2.10}$$

Here $N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-} = N^{\frac{5-p}{2} - \alpha(p-1)}$ *with* α *small.*

Proposition 2.6. ***"Almost Morawetz-Strauss Estimate"*** *Let* u *be a solution of (1.1) and let* $T \geq 0$. *Then*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|Iu(t,x)|^{p+1}}{|x|} dxdt \lesssim \sup_{t \in [0,T]} E(Iu(t)) + R_1([0,T],u) + R_2([0,T],u) \tag{2.11}$$

These propositions will be proved in the next sections. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is made of four steps

- *Boundedness of the mollified energy and the quantity* $\|Iu\|_{L_t^{p+2} L_x^{p+2}}$. We will prove that we can control the mollified energy $E(Iu)$ and the $L_t^{p+2} L_x^{p+2}$ norm of Iu on arbitrary large intervals $[0,T]$, $T \geq 0$. More precisely let

$$F_T := \left\{ T^{'} \in [0,\, T] : \sup_{t \in [0,\, T']} E(Iu(t)) \leq 2E(Iu_0),\ \|Iu\|^{p+2}_{L_t^{p+2}([0,\, T'])L_x^{p+2}} \leq C E^{\frac{3}{2}}(Iu_0) \right\} \tag{2.12}$$

We claim that $F_T = [0,\, T]$ for some universal constant $C \geq 0$ and $N = N(\|u_0\|_{H^s}, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}) >> 1$ to be chosen later. Indeed

- $F_T \neq \emptyset$ since $0 \in F_T$
- F_T is closed by continuity
- F_T is open. Let $\widetilde{T'} \in F_T$. By continuity there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $T^{'} \in (\widetilde{T'} - \delta, \widetilde{T'} + \delta) \cap [0, T]$ we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T']} E(Iu(t)) \quad \leq 3E(Iu_0) \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\|Iu\|^{p+2}_{L_t^{p+2}([0,\, T'])L_x^{p+2}} \quad \leq 2CE^{\frac{3}{2}}(Iu_0) \tag{2.14}$$

Let $\mathcal{P} = (J_j)_{1 \leq j \leq l}$ be a partition of $[0,\, T^{'}]$ such that $\|Iu\|_{L_t^{p+2}(J_j)L_x^{p+2}} = \frac{1}{N^{++}E^{\frac{1-\theta_2}{2\theta_2}}(Iu_0)}$ for all $j = 1, .., l-1$ and $\|Iu\|_{L_t^{p+2}(J_l)L_x^{p+2}} \leq \frac{1}{N^{++}E^{\frac{1-\theta_2}{2\theta_2}}(Iu_0)}$ with N^{++} defined in Proposition 2.2. Then by (2.14)

$$l \quad \lesssim E^{\frac{(p+2)(1-\theta_2)}{2\theta_2} + \frac{3}{2}}(Iu_0) N^{+} \tag{2.15}$$

$$\left[\ l \lesssim E^{\frac{(p+2)(1-\theta_2)}{2\theta_2} + \frac{3}{2}}(Iu_0) N^{\alpha(p+2)}\ \right] \tag{2.16}$$

By Proposition 2.2 and 2.3 we get after iteration

$$\sup_{t \in [0,\, T]} E(Iu(t)) - E(Iu_0) \quad \lesssim \frac{E^{\frac{(p+2)(1-\theta_2)}{2\theta_2} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{p+1}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \tag{2.17}$$

$$\left[\ \sup_{t \in [0,\, T]} E(Iu(t)) - E(Iu_0) \lesssim \frac{E^{\frac{(p+2)(1-\theta_2)}{2\theta_2} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{p+1}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2} - 3\alpha(p-1)}}\ \right] \tag{2.18}$$

Let C_1 be the constant determined by (2.17). If we can choose $N >> 1$ such that

$$C_1 \frac{E^{\frac{(p+2)(1-\theta_2)}{2\theta_2} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{p+1}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \leq E(Iu_0) \tag{2.19}$$

then $\sup_{t \in [0,T']} E(Iu(t)) \leq 2E(Iu_0)$. The constraint (2.19) is equivalent to

$$E(Iu_0) \quad \leq \frac{N^{\frac{(5-p)(p-3)}{(p-1)(p-2)}-}}{C_1^{\frac{2(p-3)}{(p-1)(p-2)}}} \tag{2.20}$$

$$\left[\ E(Iu_0) \leq \frac{E^{\frac{(5-p)(p-3)}{(p-1)(p-2)} - \frac{6\alpha(p-3)}{p-2}}}{C_1^{\frac{2(p-3)}{(p-1)(p-2)}}}\ \right] \tag{2.21}$$

if $3 < p \leq 4$ and

$$E(Iu_0) \quad \leq \frac{N^{\frac{(5-p)^2}{(6-p)(p-1)}-}}{C_1^{\frac{2(5-p)}{(6-p)(p-1)}}} \tag{2.22}$$

$$\left[\; E(Iu_0) \leq \frac{E^{\frac{(5-p)^2}{(6-p)(p-1)} - \frac{6\alpha(5-p)}{6-p}}}{C_1^{\frac{2(5-p)}{(6-p)(p-1)}}} \; \right] \tag{2.23}$$

if $4 \leq p < 5$ after plugging (1.13) into (2.19). By Proposition 2.1 it suffices to prove that there exists $N = N(\|u_0\|_{H^s}, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}) >> 1$ such that

$$N^{2(1-s)} \max(\|u_0\|_{H^s},\, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}},\, \|u_0\|_{H^s}^{p+1}) \quad \lesssim N^{\frac{(5-p)(p-3)}{(p-1)(p-2)}-} \tag{2.24}$$

in order to satisfy (2.20) and

$$N^{2(1-s)} \max(\|u_0\|_{H^s},\, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}},\, \|u_0\|_{H^s}^{p+1}) \quad \lesssim N^{\frac{(5-p)^2}{(6-p)(p-1)}-} \tag{2.25}$$

in order to satisfy (2.22) [12]. Such a choice is possible if and only if $s > s(p)$. By Proposition 2.6, Proposition 2.5 and (2.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|Iu(t,x)|^{p+1}}{|x|} \, dxdt \quad & \lesssim E(Iu_0) + \frac{E^{\frac{(p+2)(1-\theta_2)}{2\theta_2} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{p+1}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \\ & \lesssim E(Iu_0) \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Combining (2.26) to the following pointwise radial Sobolev inequality

$$|Iu(t,x)| \quad \lesssim \frac{\|Iu(t,.)\|_{H^1}}{|x|} \tag{2.27}$$

we have

$$\|Iu\|^{p+2}_{L_t^{p+2}([0,T'])L_x^{p+2}} \quad \lesssim E^{\frac{3}{2}}(Iu_0) \tag{2.28}$$

and we assign to C the constant determined by $\lesssim$ in (2.28).

- *Global existence* We have just proved that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} E(Iu(t)) \quad \leq 2E(Iu_0) \tag{2.29}$$

and

$$\|Iu\|^{p+2}_{L_t^{p+2}([0,T])_x^{p+2}} \leq CE^{\frac{3}{2}}(Iu_0) \tag{2.30}$$

for some well-chosen $N = N(\|u_0\|_{H^s}, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}) >> 1$ and $1 > s > s(p)$. Therefore by Proposition 2.1

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} E(Iu(t)) \quad \lesssim_{\substack{\|u_0\|_{H^s} \\ \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}}} 1 \tag{2.31}$$

and

---

[12] with $N^{\frac{(5-p)(p-3)}{(p-1)(p-2)}-}$, $N^{\frac{(5-p)2}{(6-p)(p-1)}-}$ defined in (2.21), (2.23) respectively

$$\|Iu\|^{p+2}_{L_t^{p+2}([0,T])L_x^{p+2}} \lesssim_{\substack{\|u_0\|_{H^s} \\ \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}}} 1 \tag{2.32}$$

Now by Plancherel and (2.31)

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u(T),\partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s\times H^{s-1}} & \lesssim E(Iu(T)) \\ & \lesssim_{\substack{\|u_0\|_{H^s} \\ \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}}} 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

This proves global well-posedness of (1.1) with data $(u_0,u_1)\in H^s\times H^{s-1}$, $1>s>s(p)$. More over by continuity we have

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} E(Iu(t)) \quad \lesssim_{\substack{\|u_0\|_{H^s} \\ \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}}} 1 \tag{2.34}$$

and

$$\|Iu\|^{p+2}_{L_t^{p+2}(\mathbb{R})L_x^{p+2}} \lesssim_{\substack{\|u_0\|_{H^s} \\ \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}}} 1 \tag{2.35}$$

- *Global estimates*
  Let $\mathcal{P} := (\widetilde{J}_j = [a_j,\, b_j])_{1\leq j\leq \widetilde{l}}$ be a partition of $[0,\infty)$ such that

$$\|Iu\|_{L_t^{p+2}(J_j)L_x^{p+2}} \quad \leq \frac{1}{N^{++}(E(Iu_0))^{\frac{1-\theta_2}{2\theta_2}}} \tag{2.36}$$

with N^{++} defined in Proposition 2.2. Notice that from Proposition 2.1 and (2.35) the number of intervals $\widetilde{l}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{l} \quad & \lesssim E^{\frac{(p+2)(1-\theta_2)}{2\theta_2}}(Iu_0) \\ & \lesssim_{\substack{\|u_0\|_{H^s} \\ \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}}} 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

Moreover by slightly modifying the steps between (4.5) and (4.13) and by (2.34) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{s,s}(J_j,u) \quad & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu(a_j)) + C_1 Z_{s,s}^{\theta_3(p-1)+1}(J_j,u) + C_2 Z_{s,s}^{\theta(p-1)+1}(J_j,u) \\ & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + C_1 Z_{s,s}^{\theta_3(p-1)+1}(J_j,u) + C_2 Z_{s,s}^{\theta(p-1)+1}(J_j,u) \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

with C_1, C_2, and θ defined in (4.14), (4.15) and (4.10) respectively. Even if it means increasing the value of $N = N(\|u_0\|_{H^s}, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}) >> 1$ in (2.24) and (2.25) we can assume that (4.16) and (4.17) hold. Therefore by Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{s,s}(J_j,u) \quad & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) \\ & \lesssim_{\substack{\|u_0\|_{H^s} \\ \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}}} 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

By (2.39) and (2.37) we have

$$Z_{s,s}(\mathbb{R},u) \quad \lesssim_{\substack{\|u_0\|_{H^s} \\ \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}}} 1 \tag{2.40}$$

- *Scattering*
  Let

$$v(t) \quad := \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ \partial_t u(t) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.41}$$

$$v_0 := \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.42}$$

$$\mathbf{K}(t) \quad := \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(t <D>\right) & \frac{\sin\left(t<D>\right)}{<D>} \\ \\ - <D> \sin\left(t <D>\right) & \cos\left(t <D>\right) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.43}$$

and

$$\mathbf{u_{nl}}(t) \quad = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^t \frac{\sin\left((t-t^{'})<D>\right)}{<D>} \left(|u|^{p-1}(t^{'})u(t^{'})\right) \, dt^{'} \\ \\ \int_0^t \cos\left((t-t^{'}) < D >\right) \left(|u|^{p-1}(t^{'})u(t^{'})\right) \, dt^{'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.44}$$

Then we get from (1.5)

$$\mathbf{v}(t) \quad = \mathbf{K}(t)\mathbf{v_0} - \mathbf{u_{nl}}(t) \tag{2.45}$$

Recall that the solution u scatters in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ if there exists

$$\mathbf{v_{+,0}} \quad := \begin{pmatrix} u_{0,+} \\ u_{1,+} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.46}$$

such that

$$\|\mathbf{v}(t) - \mathbf{K}(t)\mathbf{v_{+,0}}\|_{(H^s, H^{s-1})} \tag{2.47}$$

has a limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and the limit is equal to 0. In other words since K is bounded on $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ it suffices to prove that the quantity

$$\left\|\mathbf{K}^{-1}(t)\mathbf{v}(t) - \mathbf{v_{+,0}}\right\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} \tag{2.48}$$

has a limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and the limit is equal to 0. A computation shows that

$$\mathbf{K}^{-1}(t) \quad = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(t <D>\right) & -\frac{\sin\left(t<D>\right)}{<D>} \\ \\ <D> \sin\left(t <D>\right) & \cos\left(t <D>\right) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.49}$$

But

$$\mathbf{K}^{-1}(t)\mathbf{v}(t) \quad = \mathbf{v_0} - \mathbf{K}^{-1}(t)\mathbf{u_{nl}}(t) \tag{2.50}$$

By Proposition 1.2

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{K}^{-1}(t_1)\mathbf{u_{nl}}(t_1) - \mathbf{K}^{-1}(t_2)\mathbf{u_{nl}}(t_2)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} & \lesssim \|\mathbf{u_{nl}}(t_1) - \mathbf{u_{nl}}(t_2)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} \\ & \lesssim \||u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+s}}([t_1,\,t_2]) L_x^{\frac{2}{2-s}}} \\ & \lesssim \| <D>^{1-s} I\left(|u|^{p-1}u\right) \|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+s}}([t_1,\,t_2]) L_x^{\frac{2}{2-s}}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

If we let $J := [t_1, t_2]$ in (4.5) and follow the same steps up to (4.13) we get from (2.29)

$$\| <D>^{1-s} I\left(|u|^{p-1}u\right) \|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+s}}([t_1,\,t_2]) L_x^{\frac{2}{2-s}}} \lesssim C_1 Z_{s,s}^{\theta_3(p-1)+1}([t_1,\, t_2], u) + C_2 Z_{s,s}^{\theta(p-1)+1}([t_1,\, t_2], u) \tag{2.52}$$

By (2.40), (2.51) and (2.52)

$$\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{K}^{-1}(t_1)\mathbf{u_{nl}}(t_1) - \mathbf{K}^{-1}(t_2)\mathbf{u_{nl}}(t_2)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} = 0 \tag{2.53}$$

uniformly in t_2. This proves that $\mathbf{K}^{-1}(t)v(t)$ has a limit in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ as t goes to infinity. Moreover

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{v}(t) - \mathbf{K}(t)\mathbf{v_{+,0}}\|_{(H^s, H^{s-1})} = 0 \tag{2.54}$$

with $\mathbf{v_{+,0}}$ defined in (2.46),

$$u_{+,0} := u_0 + \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(t' <D>)}{<D>} \left(|u|^{p-1}(t')u(t')\right) dt' \tag{2.55}$$

and

$$u_{+,1} := u_1 - \int_0^\infty \cos(t' <D>) \left(|u|^{p-1}(t')u(t')\right) dt' \tag{2.56}$$

3. Proof of "Mollified energy at time 0 is bounded by $N^{2(1-s)}$"

In this section we aim at proving Proposition 2.1. By Plancherel we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Iu_1\|_{L^2}^2 & \lesssim \int_{|\xi| \leq 2N} |\widehat{u_1}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \geq 2N} \frac{N^{2(1-s)}}{|\xi|^{2(1-s)}} |\widehat{u_1}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \\ & \lesssim N^{2(1-s)} \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla I u_0\|_{L^2}^2 & \lesssim \int_{|\xi| \leq 2N} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{u_0}(t,\xi)|^2 \, d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \geq 2N} |\xi|^2 \frac{N^{2(1-s)}}{|\xi|^{2(1-s)}} |\widehat{u_0}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \\ & \lesssim N^{2(1-s)} \|u_0\|_{H^s}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover by the assumption $s > s_c$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_0\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} & \lesssim \|P_{<<N} u_0\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} + \|P_{\gtrsim N} u_0\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \\ & \lesssim N^{(p+1)\left(\frac{3(p-1)}{2(p+1)} - s\right)} \|u_0\|_{H^s}^{p+1} \\ & \lesssim N^{2(1-s)} \|u_0\|_{H^s}^{p+1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

4. Proof of "Local Boundedness"

Before attacking the proof of Proposition 2.2 let us prove a short lemma

Lemma 4.1. *Let $x(t)$ be a nonnegative continuous function of time t such that $x(0) = 0$. Let X be a positive constant and let α_i, C_i, $i \in \{1, .., m\}$ be nonnegative constants such that*

$$C_i X^{\alpha_i - 1} \quad << 1 \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$x(t) \quad \lesssim X + \sum_{i=1}^{m} C_i x^{\alpha_i}(t) \tag{4.2}$$

Then

$$x(t) \quad \lesssim X \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. If we let $\overline{x}(t) := \frac{x(t)}{X}$ then we have

$$\overline{x}(t) \quad \lesssim 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} C_i X^{\alpha_i - 1} \overline{x}^{\alpha_i}(t) \tag{4.4}$$

and $\overline{x}(0) = 0$. Applying a continuity argument to $\overline{x}$ we have $\overline{x}(t) \lesssim 1$. This implies (4.3).

$\square$

Plugging $<D>^{1-m} I$ into (1.23) we have

$$Z_{m,s}(J,u) \quad \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + \| <D>^{1-m} I(|u|^{p-1}u)\|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+m}}(J) L_x^{\frac{2}{2-m}}} \tag{4.5}$$

There are three cases

- $m = s$. By (4.5), the fractional Leibnitz rule and Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{s,s}(J,u) \quad & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + \| <D>^{1-s} Iu\|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{s}}(J) L_x^{\frac{2}{1-s}}} \| |u|^{p-1}\|_{L_t^2(J) L_x^2} \\ & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + Z_{s,s}(J,u) \|u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J) L_x^{2(p-1)}} \\ & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + Z_{s,s}(J,u) \left(\|P_{<<N} u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J) L_x^{2(p-1)}} + \|P_{\gtrsim N} u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J) L_x^{2(p-1)}} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

We are interested in estimating $\|P_{<<N} u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)} L_x^{2(p-1)}}$. There are two cases

- $3 \leq p \leq 4$. By interpolation and (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_{<<N}u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J)L_x^{2(p-1)}} &\lesssim \|P_{<<N}u\|^{\theta_1(p-1)}_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^2} \|P_{<<N}u\|^{\theta_2(p-1)}_{L_t^{p+2}(J)L_x^{p+2}} \|P_{<<N}u\|^{\theta_3(p-1)}_{L_t^{\frac{2}{s}}(J)L_x^{\frac{2}{1-s}}} \\
&\lesssim \|Iu\|^{\theta_1(p-1)}_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^2} \|Iu\|^{\theta_2(p-1)}_{L_t^{p+2}(J)L_x^{p+2}} \| <D>^{1-s}\ Iu\|^{\theta_3(p-1)}_{L_t^{\frac{2}{s}}(J)L_x^{\frac{2}{1-s}}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{E^{\frac{(\theta_1+\theta_2-1)(p-1)}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{++}}\ Z^{\theta_3(p-1)}_{s,s}(J,u) \\
&\lesssim \frac{E^{\frac{(-\theta_3)(p-1)}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{++}}\ Z^{\theta_3(p-1)}_{s,s}(J,u)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

$$\left[\ \|P_{<<N}u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J)L_x^{2(p-1)}} \lesssim \frac{E^{\frac{(-\theta_3)(p-1)}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{2\alpha(p-1)}}\ Z^{\theta_3(p-1)}_{s,s}(J,u)\ \right] \tag{4.8}$$

- $p > 4$. By interpolation, Sobolev inequality and (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_{<<N}u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J)L_x^{2(p-1)}} &\lesssim \left(\|P_{<<N}u\|^{\theta_1(p-1)}_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^6} \|P_{<<N}u\|^{\theta_2(p-1)}_{L_t^{p+2}(J)L_x^{p+2}} \|P_{<<N}u\|^{\theta_3(p-1)}_{L_t^{\frac{2}{s}}(J)L_x^{\frac{6}{1-s}}} \right) \\
&\lesssim \left(\|\nabla Iu\|^{\theta_1(p-1)}_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^2} \|Iu\|^{\theta_2(p-1)}_{L_t^{p+2}(J)L_x^{p+2}} \| <D>^{1-s}\ Iu\|^{\theta_3(p-1)}_{L_t^{\frac{2}{s}}(J)L_x^{\frac{2}{1-s}}} \right) \\
&\lesssim \frac{E^{\frac{(\theta_1+\theta_2-1)(p-1)}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{++}}\ Z^{\theta_3(p-1)}_{s,s}(J,u) \\
&\lesssim \frac{E^{\frac{(-\theta_3)(p-1)}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{++}}\ Z^{\theta_3(p-1)}_{s,s}(J,u)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

See (4.8) for an explicit formula of N^{++} in (4.9).

Now we estimate $\|P_{\gtrsim N}u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J)L_x^{2(p-1)}}$. Let

$$\theta := \frac{1}{s(p-1)} \tag{4.10}$$

By interpolation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_{\gtrsim N}u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J)L_x^{2(p-1)}} &\lesssim \|P_{\gtrsim N}u\|^{\theta(p-1)}_{L_t^{\frac{2}{s}}(J)L_x^{\frac{2}{1-s}}} \|P_{\gtrsim N}u\|^{(1-\theta)(p-1)}_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^{\frac{2(s(p-1)-1)}{2s-1}}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\|<D>^{1-s}Iu\|^{\theta(p-1)}_{L_t^{\frac{2}{s}}(J)L_x^{\frac{2}{1-s}}}}{N^{(1-s)\theta(p-1)}} \frac{\|<D>Iu\|^{(1-\theta)(p-1)}_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^2}}{N^{(p-1)(1-\theta)(1-s)}N^{++}} \\
&\lesssim E^{\frac{(1-\theta)(p-1)}{2}}(Iu_0)\frac{Z^{\theta(p-1)}_{s,s}(J,u)}{N^{(1-s)(p-1)}N^{++}}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

since $s > s_c \geq \frac{1}{p-1}$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ll} \|P_{\gtrsim N}u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J)L_x^{2(p-1)}} & \lesssim \frac{\|<D>^{1-s}Iu\|^{\theta(p-1)}_{L_t^{\frac{2}{s}}(J)L_x^{\frac{2}{1-s}}}}{N^{(1-s)\theta(p-1)}} \frac{\|<D>Iu\|^{(1-\theta)(p-1)}_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^2}}{N^{(p-1)(1-\theta)(1-s)}N^{2\alpha(p-1)}} \\ & \lesssim E^{\frac{(1-\theta)(p-1)}{2}}(Iu_0)\frac{Z_{s,s}^{\theta(p-1)}(J,u)}{N^{(1-s)(p-1)}N^{2\alpha(p-1)}} \end{array}\right] \tag{4.12}$$

Therefore we get from (4.5), (4.7), (4.9) and (4.11)

$$Z_{m,s}(J,u) \quad \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + C_1 Z_{s,s}^{\theta_3(p-1)+1}(J,u) + C_2 Z_{s,s}^{\theta(p-1)+1}(J,u) \tag{4.13}$$

with

$$C_1 \quad := \frac{E^{\frac{-\theta_3(p-1)}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{++}} \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$C_2 \quad := \frac{E^{\frac{(1-\theta)(p-1)}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{(1-s)(p-1)}N^{++}} \tag{4.15}$$

Notice that by Proposition 2.1

$$\begin{array}{ll} C_1 E^{\frac{\theta_3(p-1)}{2}}(Iu_0) & \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{++}} \\ & << 1 \end{array} \tag{4.16}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} C_2 E^{\frac{\theta(p-1)}{2}}(Iu_0) & \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{++}} \\ & << 1 \end{array} \tag{4.17}$$

if we choose $N = N(\|u_0\|_{H^s}, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}) >> 1$. Applying Lemma 4.1, we get

$$Z_{s,s}(J,u) \quad \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) \tag{4.18}$$

- $m < s$ Notice that by (4.7), (4.9), (4.11), (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \|u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J)L_x^{2(p-1)}} & \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{++}} \\ & << 1 \end{array} \tag{4.19}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{array}{ll} Z_{m,s}(J,u) & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + \| <D>^{1-m} \ I(|u|^{p-1}u)\|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+m}}(J) \ L_x^{\frac{2}{2-m}}} \\ & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + \| <D>^{1-m} \ Iu\|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{m}}(J)L_x^{\frac{2}{1-m}}} \|u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J)L_x^{2(p-1)}} \\ & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + Z_{m,s}(J,u)\|u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J)L_x^{2(p-1)}} \end{array} \tag{4.20}$$

By (4.19) and (4.20) and Lemma 4.1, we get (2.4).

- $m = 1- = 1 - \alpha$ with α small. We have

(4.21)
$$\begin{aligned} Z_{m,s}(J,u) & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + \| <D>^{1-(1-)} I\left(|u|^{p-1}u\right) \|_{L_t^{1+}(J) L_x^{2-}} \\ & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + N^{+} \| |u|^{p-1}u \|_{L_t^{1+}(J) L_x^{2-}} \\ & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + N^{+} \| |P_{<<N} u|^{p-1} P_{<<N} u \|_{L_t^{1+}(J) L_x^{2-}} + N^{+} \| |P_{<<N} u|^{p-1} P_{\gtrsim N} u \|_{L_t^{1+}(J) L_x^{2-}} \\ & + N^{+} \| |P_{\gtrsim N} u|^{p-1} P_{<<N} u \|_{L_t^{1+}(J) L_x^{2-}} + N^{+} \| |P_{\gtrsim N} u|^{p-1} P_{\gtrsim N} u \|_{L_t^{1+}(J) L_x^{2-}} \end{aligned}$$

(4.22)
$$\left[\begin{aligned} Z_{m,s}(J,u) & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + \| <D>^{1-(1-\alpha)} I\left(|u|^{p-1}u\right) \|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+(1-\alpha)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{2}{2-(1-\alpha)}}} \\ & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + N^{\alpha} \| |u|^{p-1}u \|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+(1-\alpha)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{2}{2-(1-\alpha)}}} \\ & \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + N^{\alpha} \| |P_{<<N} u|^{p-1} P_{<<N} u \|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+(1-\alpha)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{2}{2-(1-\alpha)}}} \\ & + N^{\alpha} \| |P_{<<N} u|^{p-1} P_{\gtrsim N} u \|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+(1-\alpha)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{2}{2-(1-\alpha)}}} \\ & + N^{\alpha} \| |P_{\gtrsim N} u|^{p-1} P_{<<N} u \|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+(1-\alpha)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{2}{2-(1-\alpha)}}} \\ & + N^{\alpha} \| |P_{\gtrsim N} u|^{p-1} P_{\gtrsim N} u \|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+(1-\alpha)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{2}{2-(1-\alpha)}}} \end{aligned} \right]$$

But by (4.19) we have

(4.23)
$$\begin{aligned} N^{+} \| |P_{<<N} u|^{p-1} P_{<<N} u \|_{L_t^{1+}(J) L_x^{2-}} & \lesssim N^{+} \| Iu \|_{L_t^{2+}(J) L_x^{\infty-}} \| Iu \|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J) L_x^{2(p-1)}} \\ & \lesssim N^{+} \| <D>^{1-(1-)} Iu \|_{L_t^{2+}(J) L_x^{\infty-}} \| u \|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J) L_x^{2(p-1)}} \\ & \lesssim N^{+} \| u \|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J) L_x^{2(p-1)}} Z_{1-,s}(J,u) \\ & \lesssim \frac{Z_{1-,s}(J,u)}{N^{+}} \end{aligned}$$

(4.24)
$$\left[\begin{aligned} N^{\alpha} \| |P_{<<N} u|^{p-1} P_{<<N} u \|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+(1-\alpha)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{2}{2-(1-\alpha)}}} & \lesssim N^{\alpha} \| Iu \|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(J) L_x^{\frac{2}{1-(1-\alpha)}}} \\ & \| Iu \|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J) L_x^{2(p-1)}} \\ & \lesssim N^{\alpha} \| <D>^{1-(1-\alpha)} Iu \|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(J) L_x^{\frac{2}{1-(1-\alpha)}}} \\ & \| u \|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J) L_x^{2(p-1)}} \\ & \lesssim N^{\alpha} \| u \|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J) L_x^{2(p-1)}} Z_{1-\alpha,s}(J,u) \\ & \lesssim \frac{Z_{1-\alpha,s}(J,u)}{N^{\alpha(2p-3)}} \end{aligned} \right]$$

Similarly

(4.25)
$$\| N^{+} |P_{\gtrsim N} u|^{p-1} P_{<<N} u \|_{L_t^{1+}(J) L_x^{2-}} \lesssim \frac{Z_{1-,s}(J,u)}{N^{+}}$$

(4.26)
$$\left[\begin{aligned} \| N^{\alpha} |P_{\gtrsim N} u|^{p-1} P_{<<N} u \|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+(1-\alpha)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{2}{2-(1-\alpha)}}} & \lesssim N^{\alpha} \| u \|^{p-1}_{L_t^{2(p-1)}(J) L_x^{2(p-1)}} Z_{1-\alpha,s}(J,u) \\ & \lesssim \frac{Z_{1-\alpha,s}(J,u)}{N^{\alpha(2p-3)}} \end{aligned} \right]$$

Moreover since $s > \frac{p-3}{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{+}\| \, |P_{<<N}u|^{p-1}P_{\gtrsim N}u\|_{L_t^{1+}L_x^{2-}} & \lesssim N^{+}\|P_{<<N}u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{(p-1)+}(J)L_x^{\frac{6(p-1)}{p-3}-}} \|P_{\gtrsim N}u\|_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^{\frac{6}{6-p}-}} \\
& \lesssim N^{+}Z^{p-1}_{1-,s}(J,u)\frac{\|<D>Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^{2}}}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}Z^{p-1}_{1-,s}(J,u)
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.27}
$$

$$
\left[
\begin{array}{ll}
N^{\alpha}\| \, |P_{<<N}u|^{p-1}P_{\gtrsim N}u\|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+(1-\alpha)}}(J)L_x^{\frac{2}{2-(1-\alpha)}}} & \lesssim N^{\alpha}\|P_{<<N}u\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-\alpha}}(J)L_x^{\frac{6(p-1)}{p-3+\alpha}}} \\
& \|P_{\gtrsim N}u\|_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^{\frac{6}{6-p+2\alpha}}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\|<D>Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^{2}}}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-\alpha}}Z^{p-1}_{1-\alpha,s}(J,u)
\end{array}
\right]
\tag{4.28}
$$

By Proposition 2.1 we have for $N = N\left(\|u_0\|_{H^s}, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}\right) >> 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{+}\| \, |P_{\gtrsim N}u|^{p-1}P_{\gtrsim N}u\|_{L_t^{1+}(J)L_x^{2-}} & \lesssim N^{+}\frac{\|<D>^{1-\left(\frac{2}{p}-\right)}Iu\|^{p}_{L_t^{p+}(J)L_x^{\frac{2p}{p-2}-}}}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{Z^{p}_{\frac{2}{p}-,s}(J,u)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{E^{\frac{p}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \\
& \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0)
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.29}
$$

since $s > \frac{2}{p} > s_c$.

$$
\left[
\begin{array}{ll}
N^{\alpha}\| \, |P_{\gtrsim N}u|^{p-1}P_{\gtrsim N}u\|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+(1-\alpha)}}(J)L_x^{\frac{2}{2-(1-\alpha)}}} & \lesssim N^{\alpha}\frac{\|<D>^{1-\left(\frac{2(2-\alpha)}{2p}\right)}Iu\|^{p}_{L_t^{\frac{2p}{2-\alpha}}(J)L_x^{\frac{2p}{p-2+\alpha}}}}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}}} \\
& \lesssim N^{\alpha}\frac{Z^{p}_{\frac{2}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{p},s}(J,u)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{E^{\frac{p}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-\alpha}} \\
& \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0)
\end{array}
\right]
\tag{4.30}
$$

Now by (4.21), (4.25), (4.27) and (4.30)

$$
Z_{1-,s}(J,u) \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0) + \frac{Z_{1-,s}(J,u)}{N^{+}} + \frac{E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}Z^{p-1}_{1-,s}(J,u)
\tag{4.31}
$$

Let $C_3 := \frac{E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Iu_0)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}$. Then by Proposition 2.1

$$C_3 E^{\frac{p-2}{2}}(Iu_0) \quad << 1 \tag{4.32}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N^{+}} \quad << 1 \tag{4.33}$$

if $N = N\left(\|u_0\|_{H^s}, \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}\right) >> 1$. From Lemma 4.1, (4.19), (4.31) (4.32) and (4.33) we get (2.4).

5. Proof of "Almost Morawetz-Strauss estimate"

In this section we prove Proposition 2.6.

First we recall the proof of the Morawetz-Strauss estimate based upon the important equality [8, 9, 14]

(5.1)
$$\begin{aligned}
&\Re\left(\left(\frac{\nabla\bar{u}\cdot x}{|x|} + \frac{\bar{u}}{|x|}\right)\left(\partial_{tt}u - \triangle u + u + |u|^{p-1}u\right)\right) = \partial_t\left(\Re\left(\frac{\nabla\bar{u}\cdot x}{|x|} + \frac{\bar{u}}{|x|}\right)\partial_t u\right) \\
&+ \operatorname{div}\left(-\frac{|\partial_t u|^2\cdot x}{2|x|} - \frac{|u|^2\cdot x}{|x|^3} - \Re\left(\left(\frac{\nabla\bar{u}\cdot x}{|x|} + \frac{\overline{u}}{|x|}\right)\nabla u\right) + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2|x|} + \frac{|u|^{p+1}x}{(p+1)|x|} + \frac{|u|^2 x}{2}\right) \\
&+ \frac{p-1}{p+1}\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{|x|} + \frac{1}{|x|}\left(|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{|\nabla u\cdot x|^2}{|x|}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (5.1) with respect to space and time we have

(5.2)
$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{p-1}{p+1}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|u|^{p+1}(t,x)}{|x|}\,dxdt + 2\pi\int_0^T |u|^2(0,t)\,dt \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Re\left(\frac{\nabla\overline{u}(T,x)\cdot x}{|x|} + \frac{\overline{u}(T,x)}{|x|}\right)\partial_t u(T,x)\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Re\left(\frac{\nabla\overline{u}(0,x)\cdot x}{|x|} + \frac{\overline{u}(0,x)}{|x|}\right)\partial_t u(0,x)\,dx
\end{aligned}$$

if u satisfies (1.1). By Cauchy-Schwartz

(5.3)
$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Re\left(\left(\frac{\nabla\overline{u}\cdot x}{|x|} + \frac{\overline{u}}{|x|}\right)\partial_t u(T,x)\right)dx\right| \quad \lesssim E^{\frac{1}{2}}(u)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\frac{\nabla\overline{u}(T,x).\cdot x}{|x|} + \frac{\overline{u}}{|x|}\right|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

After expansion we have

(5.4)
$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\frac{\nabla\overline{u}(T,x).\cdot x}{|x|} + \frac{\overline{u}(T,x)}{|x|}\right|^2 dx \quad &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\frac{\nabla u(T,x)\cdot x}{|x|}\right|^2 dx + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\nabla\left(\frac{|u|^2(T,x)}{2}\right)\cdot x}{|x|^2}\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|u|^2(T,x)}{|x|^2}\,dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\frac{\nabla u(T,x)\cdot x}{|x|}\right|^2 dx \\
&\lesssim E(u)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the identity

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{|u|^2(T,x)x}{2|x|^2}\right) \quad = \frac{\nabla\left(\frac{|u|^2(T,x)}{2}\right)\cdot x}{|x|^2} + \frac{|u|^2(T,x)}{2|x|^2} \tag{5.5}$$

Combining (5.2) and (5.6) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\nabla \overline{u}(T,x)\cdot x}{|x|} + \frac{\overline{u}(T,x)}{|x|} \right|^2 dx \quad \lesssim E(u) \tag{5.6}$$

Similarly

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\nabla \overline{u}(0,x).\cdot x}{|x|} + \frac{\overline{u}(0,x)}{|x|} \right|^2 dx \quad \lesssim E(u) \tag{5.7}$$

We get from (5.2), (5.6) and (5.7) the Morawetz-Strauss estimate

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u|^{p+1}(t,x)}{|x|} \, dxdt \quad \lesssim E(u) \tag{5.8}$$

Now we plug the multiplier I into (5.1) and we redo the computations. We get (2.11).

6. Proof of "Almost conservation law" and "Estimate of integrals"

The proof of Proposition 2.3, 2.5 relies on the following lemma

Lemma 6.1. *Let G such that $\|G\|_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^2} \lesssim Z(J,v)$. If $s \geq \frac{3p-5}{2p} > s_c$ and $3 \leq p < 5$ then*

$$\int_J \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| G\left(F(Iv) - IF(v)\right)\right| \, dxdt \quad \lesssim \frac{Z^{p+1}(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. We have

(6.2)

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_J \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| G\left(F(Iv) - IF(v)\right)\right| \, dxdt \\ &\lesssim \|G\|_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^2} \|F(Iv) - F(v)\|_{L_t^1(J)L_x^2} + \|G\|_{L_t^{\infty}(J)L_x^2} \|F(v) - IF(v)\|_{L_t^1(J)L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim Z(J,v) \left(\|F(Iv) - F(v)\|_{L_t^1(J)L_x^2} + \|F(v) - IF(v)\|_{L_t^1(J)L_x^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$X_1 := \quad \|F(Iv) - F(v)\|_{L_t^1(J)L_x^2} \tag{6.3}$$

and

$$X_2 := \quad \|F(v) - IF(v)\|_{L_t^1(J)L_x^2} \tag{6.4}$$

We are interested in estimating X_1. By the fundamental theorem of calculus we have the pointwise bound

$$|F(Iv) - F(v)| \quad \lesssim \max\left(|Iv|, |v|\right)^{p-1} |Iv - v| \tag{6.5}$$

Plugging this bound into X_1 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_1 &\lesssim \|P_{<<N}v\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{\frac{4(p-1)}{7-p}+}(J)L_x^{\frac{4(p-1)}{p-3}-}} \|P_{\gtrsim N}v\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{p-3}-}(J)L_x^{\frac{4}{5-p}+}} + \|P_{\gtrsim N}v\|^{p-1}_{L_t^p(J)L_x^{2p}} \|P_{\gtrsim N}v\|_{L_t^p(J)L_x^{2p}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \left(\begin{array}{l} \| <D>^{1-(1-)}\, Iv\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{\frac{4(p-1)}{7-p}+}(J)L_x^{\frac{4(p-1)}{p-3}-}} \| <D>^{1-(\frac{p-3}{2}+)}\, Iv\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{p-3}-}(J)L_x^{\frac{4}{5-p}+}} + \\ \| <D>^{1-\frac{3p-5}{2p}}\, Iv\|^p_{L_t^p(J)L_x^{2p}} \end{array} \right) \\
&\lesssim \frac{Z^{p-1}_{1-,s}(J,v) Z_{\frac{p-3}{2}+,s}(J,v) + Z^p_{\frac{3p-5}{2p},s}(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{Z^p(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.6}
$$

$$
\left[
\begin{aligned}
X_1 &\lesssim \|P_{<<N}v\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{\frac{4(p-1)}{7-p-2\alpha(p-1)}}(J)L_x^{\frac{4(p-1)}{p-3+2\alpha(p-1)}}} \|P_{\gtrsim N}v\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{p-3+2\alpha(p-1)}}(J)L_x^{\frac{4}{5-p-2\alpha(p-1)}}} \\
&\quad + \|P_{\gtrsim N}v\|^{p-1}_{L_t^p(J)L_x^{2p}} \|P_{\gtrsim N}v\|_{L_t^p(J)L_x^{2p}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-\alpha(p-1)}} \left(\begin{array}{l} \| <D>^{1-(1-\alpha)}\, Iv\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{\frac{4(p-1)}{7-p-2\alpha(p-1)}}(J)L_x^{\frac{4(p-1)}{p-3+2\alpha(p-1)}}} \\ \| <D>^{1-\left(\frac{p-3}{2}+\alpha(p-1)\right)}\, Iv\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{p-3+2\alpha(p-1)}}(J)L_x^{\frac{4}{5-p-2\alpha(p-1)}}} \\ + \| <D>^{1-\frac{3p-5}{2p}}\, Iv\|^p_{L_t^p(J)L_x^{2p}} \end{array} \right) \\
&\lesssim \frac{Z^{p-1}_{1-\alpha,s}(J,v) Z_{\frac{p-3}{2}+\alpha(p-1),s}(J,v) + Z^p_{\frac{3p-5}{2p},s}(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-\alpha(p-1)}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{Z^p(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-\alpha(p-1)}}
\end{aligned}
\right]
\tag{6.7}
$$

Now we turn to X_2. On low frequencies we use the smoothness of F whereas on high frequencies we take advantage of the regularity of u, lying in H^s. More precisely by the fundamental theorem of calculus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(v) &= F(P_{<<N}v + P_{\gtrsim N}v) \\
&= F(P_{<<N}v) + \left(\int_0^1 |P_{<<N}v + sP_{\gtrsim N}v|^{p-1}\, ds \right) P_{\gtrsim N}v \\
&\quad + \left(\int_0^1 \frac{P_{<<N}v + sP_{\gtrsim N}v}{\overline{P_{<<N}v + sP_{\gtrsim N}v}} |P_{<<N}v + sP_{\gtrsim N}v|^{p-1}\, ds \right) \overline{P_{\gtrsim N}v}
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.8}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_2 & \lesssim \| P_{\gtrsim N} F(v) \|_{L_t^1(J) L_x^2} \\
& \lesssim \| P_{\gtrsim N} F(P_{<<N} v) \|_{L_t^1(J) L_x^2} + \| \, |P_{<<N} v|^{p-1} \, P_{\gtrsim N} v \|_{L_t^1(J) L_x^2} + \| \, |P_{\gtrsim N} v|^{p-1} \, P_{\gtrsim N} v \|_{L_t^1(J) L_x^2} \\
& \lesssim X_{2,1} + X_{2,2} + X_{2,3}
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.9}
$$

with $X_{2,1} := \| P_{\gtrsim N} F(P_{<<N} v) \|_{L_t^1(J) L_x^2}$, $X_{2,2} := \| \, |P_{<<N} v|^{p-1} \, P_{\gtrsim N} v \|_{L_t^1(J) L_x^2}$ and $X_{2,3} := \| \, |P_{\gtrsim N} v|^{p-1} \, P_{\gtrsim N} v \|_{L_t^1(J) L_x^2}$. But again by the fundamental theorem of calculus

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{2,1} & \lesssim \tfrac{1}{N} \| \nabla F(P_{<<N} v) \|_{L_t^1(J) L_x^2} \\
& \lesssim \tfrac{1}{N} \| \, |P_{<<N} v|^{p-1} \nabla P_{<<N} v + \tfrac{|P_{<<N} v|^{p-1} P_{<<N} v}{\overline{P_{<<N} v}} \overline{\nabla P_{<<N} v} \|_{L_t^1(J) L_x^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.10}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{2,1} & \lesssim \tfrac{1}{N} \| P_{<<N} v \|^{p-1}_{L_t^{\frac{4(p-1)}{7-p}+}(J) L_x^{\frac{4(p-1)}{p-3}-}} \| \nabla P_{<<N} v \|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{p-3}-}(J) L_x^{\frac{4}{5-p}+}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \| <D>^{1-(1-)} \, Iv \|^{p-1}_{L_t^{\frac{4(p-1)}{7-p}+}(J) L_x^{\frac{4(p-1)}{p-3}-}} \| <D>^{1-\left(\frac{p-3}{2}+\right)} \, Iv \|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{p-3}-}(J) L_x^{\frac{4}{5-p}+}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{Z^{p-1}_{1-,s}(J,v) Z_{\frac{p-3}{2}+,s}(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{Z^p(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.11}
$$

$$
\left[
\begin{aligned}
X_{2,1} & \lesssim \tfrac{1}{N} \| P_{<<N} v \|^{p-1}_{L_t^{\frac{4(p-1)}{7-p-2\alpha(p-1)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{4(p-1)}{p-3+2\alpha(p-1)}}} \| \nabla P_{<<N} v \|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{p-3+2\alpha(p-1)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{4}{5-p-2\alpha(p-1)}}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-\alpha(p-1)}} \| <D>^{1-(1-\alpha)} \, Iv \|^{p-1}_{L_t^{\frac{4(p-1)}{7-p-2\alpha(p-1)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{4(p-1)}{p-3+2\alpha(p-1)}}} \\
& \quad \| <D>^{1-\left(\frac{p-3}{2}+\alpha(p-1)\right)} \, Iv \|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{p-3+2\alpha(p-1)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{4}{5-p-2\alpha(p-1)}}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{Z^{p-1}_{1-\alpha,s}(J,v) Z_{\frac{p-3}{2}+\alpha(p-1),s}(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-\alpha(p-1)}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{Z^p(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-\alpha(p-1)}}
\end{aligned}
\right]
\tag{6.12}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{2,2} &\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \| <D>^{1-(1-)} \, Iv\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{\frac{4(p-1)}{7-p}+}(J) L_x^{\frac{4(p-1)}{p-3}-}} \| <D>^{1-\left(\frac{p-3}{2}+\right)} \, Iv\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{p-3}-}(J) L_x^{\frac{4}{5-p}+}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{Z^{p-1}_{1-,s}(J,v) Z_{\frac{p-3}{2}+,s}(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{Z^p(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}
$$

$$
\left[
\begin{aligned}
X_{2,2} \quad &\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-\alpha(p-1)}} \| <D>^{1-(1-\alpha)} \, Iv\|^{p-1}_{L_t^{\frac{4(p-1)}{7-p-2\alpha(p-1)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{4(p-1)}{p-3+2\alpha(p-1)}}} \\
&\| <D>^{1-\left(\frac{p-3}{2}+\alpha(p-1)\right)} \, Iv\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{p-3+2\alpha(p-1)}}(J) L_x^{\frac{4}{5-p-2\alpha(p-1)}}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{Z^{p-1}_{1-\alpha,s}(J,v) Z_{\frac{p-3}{2}+\alpha(p-1),s}(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-\alpha(p-1)}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{Z^p(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-\alpha(p-1)}}
\end{aligned}
\right] \tag{6.14}
$$

As for $X_{2,3}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{2,3} &\lesssim \|P_{\gtrsim N} v\|^p_{L_t^p(J) L_x^{2p}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\|<D>^{1-\frac{3p-5}{2p}} Iv\|^p_{L_t^p(J) L_x^{2p}}}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{Z^p_{\frac{3p-5}{2},s}(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{Z^p(J,v)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}
$$

□

Let $t^{'} \in J = [a,b]$. Then if u is a solution to (1.1) then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left| E(Iu(t^{'})) - E(Iu(a)) \right| &= \left| \int_{[a,t^{'}]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Re(\overline{\partial_t Iu}(F(Iu) - IF(u))) \right| \\
&\lesssim \int_{[a,t^{'}]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \overline{\partial_t Iu}(F(Iu) - IF(u)) \right|
\end{aligned} \tag{6.16}
$$

Notice that

$$
\|\partial_t Iu\|_{L_t^\infty(J) L_x^2} \lesssim Z_{0,s}(J,u) \tag{6.17}
$$

Applying Lemma 6.1 with $G := \partial_t Iu$ to (6.16) we get (2.7). Notice also that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{\nabla Iv \cdot x}{|x|} \right\|_{L_t^\infty(J) L_x^2} &\lesssim \|\nabla Iv\|_{L_t^\infty(J) L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim Z_{0,s}(J,v)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.18}
$$

and that

$$\| \tfrac{Iv}{|x|} \|_{L_t^{\infty}(J) L_x^2} \lesssim \| \nabla I v \|_{L_t^{\infty}(J) L_x^2} \lesssim Z_{0,s}(J,v) \tag{6.19}$$

by Hardy inequality. Letting $G(t,x) := \frac{\nabla Iv(t,x).x}{|x|}$ we get (2.8) from (6.18) and Lemma 6.1 for $i=1$. Similarly (2.8) holds for $i=2$ if we let $G(t,x) := \frac{Iv(t,x)}{|x|}$.

7. Strichartz estimates for $NLKG$ in $L_t^q L_x^r$ spaces

The techniques used in the proof of these estimates are, broadly speaking, standard [7, 6]. However some subtleties appear because unlike the homogeneous Schrodinger and wave equations the homogeneous defocusing Klein-Gordon equation does not enjoy any scaling property. Now we mention them. Regarding the estimates involving the homogeneous part of the solution we apply, broadly speaking, a "TT^{*}" argument to the truncated cone operators localized at all the frequencies [13] instead of applying it at frequency equal to one and then use a scaling argument for the other frequencies. The inhomogeneous estimates are slightly more complicated to establish. In the first place we try to reduce the estimates (see (7.38)) localized at all frequencies to the estimate at frequency one (see (7.46)). This strategy does not totally work because of the lack of scaling. However the remaining estimate (see 7.50), after duality is equivalent to an homogeneous estimate on high frequencies (see (7.52)) that has already been established.

Let u be the solution of (1.22) with data (u_0, u_1). Since $u\phi(\frac{t}{2T})$ satisfies (1.22) on $[0,T]$ it suffices to prove (1.23) with $[0,T]$ substituted for $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $u_l := \cos{(t <D>)} u_0 + \frac{\sin{(t <D>)}}{<D>} u_1$ and $u_{nl} := - \int_0^t \frac{\sin{(t-t^{'}) <D>}}{<D>} Q(t^{'})$. We need to show

$$\| u_l \|_{L_t^{\infty} H^m} + \| \partial_t u_l(t) \|_{L_t^{\infty} H^{m-1}} \lesssim \| u_0 \|_{H^m} + \| u_1 \|_{H^{m-1}} \tag{7.1}$$

$$\| u_l \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} + \| \partial_t <D>^{-1} u_l \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \| u_0 \|_{H^m} + \| u_1 \|_{H^{m-1}} \tag{7.2}$$

$$\| u_{nl} \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} + \| \partial_t <D>^{-1} u_{nl} \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \| Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.3}$$

and

$$\| u_{nl} \|_{L_t^{\infty} H^m} + \| \partial_t u_{nl} \|_{L_t^{\infty} H^{m-1}} \lesssim \| Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.4}$$

By Plancherel theorem we have (7.1). We prove (7.2), (7.3) and (7.4) in the next subsections.

---

[13] i.e to $e^{it<D>} P_M$, $M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$: see (7.15)

7.1. **Proof of (7.2).** By decomposition and substitution it suffices to prove

$$\|e^{it<D>}u_0\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^m} \tag{7.5}$$

If we could prove for every Schwartz function f

$$\|e^{it<D>}P_{\leq 1}f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2} \tag{7.6}$$

and

$$\|e^{it<D>}P_M f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim M^m \|f\|_{L^2} \tag{7.7}$$

for $M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $M > 1$, then (7.2) would follow. Indeed let $\widetilde{P_M} := P_{\frac{M}{2} \leq \cdot \leq 2M}$ and $\widetilde{P_{\leq 1}} := P_{\leq 2}$. Applying (7.7) to $f := \widetilde{P_M} f$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it<D>}P_M f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} & \lesssim M^m \|\widetilde{P_M} f\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \|\widetilde{P_M} f\|_{\dot{H}^m} \end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

Similarly plugging $f := \widetilde{P_{\leq 1}} f$ into (7.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it<D>}P_{\leq 1} f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} & \lesssim \|\widetilde{P_{\leq 1}} f\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{H^m} \end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

Before moving forward, we recall the fundamental Paley-Littlewood equality [13]: if $1 < p < \infty$ and h is Schwartz then

$$\|h\|_{L^p} \sim \| \left(\textstyle\sum_{M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} |P_M h|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L^p} \tag{7.10}$$

We plug $h := P_{>1} f$ into (7.10). Hence by Minkowski inequality and Plancherel theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it<D>}P_{>1} f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} & \lesssim \| \left(\textstyle\sum_{M \geq 1} |e^{it<D>}P_M f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \\ & \lesssim \left(\textstyle\sum_{M \geq 1} \|e^{it<D>}P_M f\|^2_{L_t^q L_x^r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\textstyle\sum_{M \geq 1} \|\widetilde{P_M} f\|^2_{\dot{H}^m} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{H^m} \end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

since $q \geq 2$ and $r \geq 2$. Combining (7.9) to (7.11) we get (7.5). It remains to prove (7.6) and (7.7). Let $T_1(f) := e^{it<D>}P_{\leq 1} f$ and $T_M(f) := e^{it<D>}P_M f$, $M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $M > 1$. We have

$$T_1(f)(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi(\xi) e^{it<\xi>} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\xi.x} \, d\xi \tag{7.12}$$

and if $M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $M > 1$ let

$$T_M(f)(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{M}\right) e^{it<\xi>} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\xi.x} \, d\xi \tag{7.13}$$

We would like to prove

$$\|T_1(f)\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \quad \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2} \tag{7.14}$$

and

$$\|T_M(f)\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \quad \lesssim \|f\|_{H^m} \tag{7.15}$$

By a "TT^*" argument we are reduced showing for every continuous in time Schwartz in space function g

$$\|T_1 T_1^*(g)\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \quad \lesssim \|g\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{r'}} \tag{7.16}$$

and similarly

$$\|T_M T_M^*(g)\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \quad \lesssim M^{2m} \|g\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{r'}} \tag{7.17}$$

with $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$. But a computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 T_1^*(g) & = K_1 * g \\ & = \int K_1(t - t^{'}, .) * g(t^{'}, .) \, dt^{'} \end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_M T_M^*(g) & = K_M * g \\ & = \int K_M(t - t^{'}, .) * g(t^{'}, .) \, dt^{'} \end{aligned} \tag{7.19}$$

with

$$K_1(t - t^{'}, x) \quad := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\phi(\xi)|^2 e^{i<\xi>(t-t^{'})} e^{i\xi \cdot x} \, d\xi \tag{7.20}$$

and

$$K_M(t - t^{'}, x) \quad := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{M}\right) \right|^2 e^{i<\xi>(t-t^{'})} e^{i\xi \cdot x} \, d\xi \tag{7.21}$$

One one hand by Plancherel equality we have

$$\|K_M(t - t^{'}, .) * g(t^{'}, .)\|_{L^2} \quad \lesssim \|g(t^{'}, .)\|_{L^2} \tag{7.22}$$

On the other hand

$$\|K_M(t - t^{'}, .) * g(t^{'}, .)\|_{L^\infty} \quad \lesssim \|K_M(t - t^{'}, .)\|_{L^\infty} \|g(t^{'}, .)\|_{L^1} \tag{7.23}$$

where $\|K_M(t - t^{'}, .)\|_{L^\infty}$ is estimated by the stationary phase method [5], p 441

$$\|K_M(t - t^{'}, .)\|_{L^\infty} \quad \lesssim M^d \min\left(1, \frac{1}{\left(M|t-t^{'}|\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}\right) \min\left(1, \left(\frac{M}{|t-t^{'}|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{7.24}$$

and

$$\|K_1(t - t^{'}, .)\|_{L^\infty} \quad \lesssim \min\left(1, \frac{1}{|t-t^{'}|^{\frac{d}{2}}}\right) \tag{7.25}$$

By complex interpolation we have

$$\|K_1(t-t^{'},.)*g(t^{'},.)\|_{L^r} \lesssim \left(\min\left(1,\frac{1}{|t-t^{'}|^{\frac{d}{2}}}\right)\right)^{1-\frac{2}{r}} \|g(t^{'},.)\|_{L^{r'}} \tag{7.26}$$

and

$$\|K_M(t-t^{'},.)*g(t^{'},.)\|_{L^r} \lesssim \widetilde{K_M}(t-t^{'})\|g(t^{'},.)\|_{L^{r'}} \tag{7.27}$$

with

$$\widetilde{K_M}(t) := \left(M^d \min\left(1,\frac{1}{(M|t|)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}\right)\min\left(1,\left(\frac{M}{|t|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)^{1-\frac{2}{r}} \tag{7.28}$$

and $r^{'}$ such that $\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{'}}=1$. Observe that if (q,r) is wave admissible and $(q,r)\neq(\infty,2)$ then $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{d}{2r}<\frac{d}{4}$. Therefore there are two cases

First we estimate $\|T_1T_1^{*}\|_{L_t^qL_x^r}$. There are two cases

- **Case 1**: $r>2$. Then since (q,r) is wave admissible and $(q,r)\neq(\infty,2)$ we also have $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{d}{2r}<\frac{d}{4}$ and by (7.18), Young's inequality and (7.26)

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_1T_1^{*}g\|_{L_t^qL_x^r} &\lesssim \left\|\min\left(1,\frac{1}{|t|^{\frac{d}{2}}}\right)^{1-\frac{2}{r}}\right\|_{L_t^{\frac{q}{2}}}\|g\|_{L_t^{q'}L_x^{r'}}\\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{L_t^{q'}L_x^{r'}}\end{aligned} \tag{7.29}$$

- **Case 2**: $r=2$. Then $q=\infty$. Then by (7.18) and (7.22) we get (7.16).

We turn to (7.17). We write $\widetilde{K_M}=\widetilde{K_{M,a}}+\widetilde{K_{M,b}}+\widetilde{K_{M,c}}$ in (7.27) with $\widetilde{K_{M,a}}:=\widetilde{K_M}\chi_{|t|\leq\frac{1}{M}}$, $\widetilde{K_{M,b}}:=\widetilde{K_M}\chi_{\frac{1}{M}\leq|t|\leq M}$ and $\widetilde{K_{M,c}}:=\widetilde{K_M}\chi_{|t|\geq M}$. We have by Young's inequality and (1.25)

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\widetilde{K_{M,a}}(t-t^{'})\,\|g(t^{'},.)\|_{L_x^{r'}}\right\|_{L_t^q} &\lesssim M^{d\left(1-\frac{2}{r}\right)}\|\chi_{|t|\leq\frac{1}{M}}\|_{L_t^{\frac{q}{2}}}\|g\|_{L_t^{q'}L_x^{r'}}\\ &\lesssim M^{2m}\|g\|_{L_t^{q'}L_x^{r'}}\end{aligned} \tag{7.30}$$

To estimate $\|\widetilde{K_{M,b}}(t-t^{'})*\|g(t^{'},.)\|_{L^{r'}}\|_{L_t^q}$ there are two cases

- **Case 1**: $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{d-1}{2r}<\frac{d-1}{4}$ By Young's inequality, (7.28) and (1.25) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\widetilde{K_{M,b}}(t-t^{'})\,\|g(t^{'},.)\|_{L_x^{r'}}\right\|_{L_t^q} &\lesssim \|\chi_{\frac{1}{M}\leq t\leq M}\frac{M^{2\left(1-\frac{2}{r}\right)}}{(Mt)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}\|_{L_t^{\frac{q}{2}}}\|g\|_{L^{q'}L_x^{r'}}\\ &\lesssim M^{2m}\|g\|_{L^{q'}L_x^{r'}}\end{aligned} \tag{7.31}$$

- **Case 2**: $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{d-1}{2r}=\frac{d-1}{4}$. By (7.28) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{K_{M,b}}(t-t^{'})\,\|g(t^{'},.)\|_{L_x^{r'}} &\lesssim M^{\frac{d+1}{2}\left(1-\frac{2}{r}\right)}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\|g(t^{'},.)\|_{L^{r'}}}{|t-t^{'}|^{\frac{d-1}{2}\left(1-\frac{2}{r}\right)}}\,dt^{'}\\ &\lesssim M^{d\left(1-\frac{2}{r}\right)-\frac{2}{q}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\|g(t^{'},.)\|_{L^{r'}}}{|t-t^{'}|^{\frac{2}{q}}}\,dt^{'}\end{aligned} \tag{7.32}$$

By (1.26), (1.25) and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality [13]

$$\left\| \widetilde{K_{M,b}}(t-t^{'}) \, \|g(t^{'},.)\|_{L_x^{r'}} \right\|_{L_t^q} \lesssim M^{2m} \|g\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{r'}} \tag{7.33}$$

We estimate $\left\| \widetilde{K_{M,c}}(t-t^{'}) \, \|g(t^{'},.)\|_{L^{r'}} \right\|_{L_t^q}$ by applying Young inequality, (1.25) and (1.24) i.e

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widetilde{K_{M,c}}(t-t^{'}) \, \|g(t^{'},.)\|_{L_x^{r'}} \right\|_{L_t^q} & \lesssim M^{\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{r}\right)} \| \chi_{t \geq M} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{d}{2}\left(1-\frac{2}{r}\right)}} \|_{L_t^{\frac{q}{2}}} \\ & \lesssim M^{\frac{2}{q}+1-\frac{2}{r}} \|g\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{r'}} \\ & \lesssim M^{2m} \|g\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{r'}} \end{aligned} \tag{7.34}$$

By (7.27), (7.30), (7.31), (7.33) and (7.34) we get (7.17).

7.2. **Proof of (7.3).** By decomposition and substitution it suffices to prove

$$\| \int_{t'<t} e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} Q(t^{'}) \, dt^{'} \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \| <D> Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.35}$$

By Christ-Kisilev lemma [12] [14] it suffices in fact to prove

$$\| \int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} Q(t^{'}) \, dt^{'} \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \| < D > Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.36}$$

If we could prove

$$\| \int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} P_{\leq 1} Q(t^{'}) \, dt^{'} \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \|Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.37}$$

and

$$\| \int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} P_M Q(t^{'}) \, dt^{'} \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim M \|Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.38}$$

then (7.1) would follow. Indeed introducing $\widetilde{P_{\leq 1}}$ and $\widetilde{P_M}$ as in the previous subsection we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| \int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} P_{\leq 1} Q(t^{'}) \, dt^{'} \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} & \lesssim \| \widetilde{P_{\leq 1}} Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \\ & \lesssim \| < D > Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \end{aligned} \tag{7.39}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \| \int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} P_M Q(t^{'}) \, dt^{'} \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} & \lesssim M \| \widetilde{P_M} Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \\ & \lesssim \| \widetilde{P_M} < D > Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \end{aligned} \tag{7.40}$$

Therefore we have

---

[14] an original proof of this lemma can be found in [3]

(7.41)
$$\begin{aligned}
\| \textstyle\int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} P_{>1} Q(t^{'})\, dt^{'} \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} & \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{\substack{M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ M > 1}} | \textstyle\int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} P_M Q(t') \, dt^{'} |^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \\
& \lesssim \left(\sum_{\substack{M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ M > 1}} \| \textstyle\int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} P_M Q(t^{'})\, dt^{'} \|^2_{L_t^q L_x^r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \left(\sum_{\substack{M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ M > 1}} \| P_M <D> Q \|^2_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{\substack{M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ M > 1}} | P_M <D> Q |^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \\
& \lesssim \| <D> Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we establish (7.37). It is not difficult to see from the proof of (7.6) and (7.7) that we also have

$$\| e^{it<D>} P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\leq 4} f \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \| f \|_{L^2} \tag{7.42}$$

and

$$\| e^{it<D>} P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\leq 1} f \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \| f \|_{L^2} \tag{7.43}$$

for every Schwartz function f. A dual statement of (7.43) is

$$\| \textstyle\int e^{-it^{'}<D>} P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\leq 1} Q(t^{'})\, dt^{'} \|_{L^2} \lesssim \| Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.44}$$

Composing (7.42) with (7.44) we get (7.37).
We turn to (7.38). We need to prove

$$\| \textstyle\int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{M} \right) \widehat{Q}(t^{'}, \xi)\, dt^{'}\, e^{i\xi \cdot x}\, d\xi \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim M \| Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.45}$$

By the change of variable $\left(\xi,\, t^{'} \right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{\xi}{M},\, M t^{'} \right)$ we are reduced showing

(7.46)
$$\| \textstyle\int e^{i(Mt-t^{'})\left(|\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{M^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \psi(\xi) \widehat{Q\left(\frac{t^{'}}{M},\, \frac{\cdot}{M} \right)}(\xi)\, dt^{'}\, e^{iMx \cdot \xi}\, d\xi \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim M^2 \| Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}}$$

If we could prove that for every Schwartz function G

$$\| S_M G \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \| G \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.47}$$

with

$$S_M G \quad := \int e^{i(t-t^{'})\left(|\xi|^2+\frac{1}{M^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \psi(\xi)\widehat{G}(t^{'},\xi)\, dt^{'}\, e^{i\xi\cdot x} d\xi \tag{7.48}$$

then (7.46) would hold. Indeed by (1.28) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| \int e^{i(Mt-t^{'})\left(|\xi|^2+\frac{1}{M^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \psi(\xi) \widehat{Q\left(\frac{t^{'}}{M},\, \frac{\cdot}{M}\right)}(\xi)\, dt^{'}\, e^{iMx\cdot\xi}\, d\xi\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} & = \|S_M\left(Q\left(\frac{\cdot}{M},\, \frac{\cdot}{M}\right)\right)(Mt,\, Mx)\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \\ & \lesssim M^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}+\frac{d}{\tilde{r}}-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{d}{r}} \|Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \\ & \lesssim M^2 \|Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \end{aligned} \tag{7.49}$$

By duality and composition with (7.42) it suffices to show

$$\|e^{it\left(D^2+\frac{1}{M^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} P_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \quad \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2} \tag{7.50}$$

Again it is not difficult to see from the proof of (7.15) that

$$\|e^{it<D>} P_M^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \quad \lesssim \|f\|_{H^m} \tag{7.51}$$

But after performing the change of variable $\xi \rightarrow M\xi$ we have by (1.25) and (7.51)

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\left(D^2+\frac{1}{M^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} P_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} & = \| \int e^{i\frac{t}{M}\left(|\xi|^2+1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \psi^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\xi}{M}\right) \widehat{f(M\,.)}(\xi) e^{i\frac{x}{M}\cdot\xi}\, d\xi\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \\ & = \| \left(e^{it<D>} P_M^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(\widetilde{P_M}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(M.)\right)\left(\frac{t}{M},\, \frac{x}{M}\right)\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \\ & \lesssim M^{\frac{1}{q}+\frac{d}{r}} \|\widetilde{P_M}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(M\,.)\|_{H^m} \\ & \lesssim M^{\frac{1}{q}+\frac{d}{r}-\frac{d}{2}+m} \|f\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2} \end{aligned} \tag{7.52}$$

7.3. **Proof of (7.4).** By decomposition, substitution and Christ-Kisilev lemma [12] it suffices to prove

$$\| \int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} Q\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \quad \lesssim \| <D>^{1-m} Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.53}$$

If we could prove

$$\| \int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} P_{\leq 1} Q(t^{'})\, dt^{'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \quad \lesssim \|Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.54}$$

and

$$\| \int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} P_M Q(t^{'})\, dt^{'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \quad \lesssim M^{1-m} \|Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.55}$$

then (7.53) would follow. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \| \int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} P_{\leq 1} Q(t^{'})\, dt^{'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} & \lesssim \|\widetilde{P_{\leq 1}} Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \\ & \lesssim \| < D >^{1-m} Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \end{aligned} \tag{7.56}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} P_M Q(t^{'})\, dt^{'} \right\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2} &\lesssim M^{1-m} \| \widetilde{P_M} Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \\ &\lesssim \| \widetilde{P_M} < D >^{1-m} Q \|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \end{aligned} \tag{7.57}$$

Therefore following the same steps to those in (7.41) we get (7.53).

(7.54) follows from the composition of the trivial inequality $\|e^{it<D>} P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\leq 1} f\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}$ and (7.44).

We turn to (7.55). We need to prove

$$\left\| \int e^{i(t-t^{'})<D>} \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{M}\right) \widehat{Q}(t^{'}, \xi)\, dt^{'}\, e^{i\xi\cdot x}\, d\xi \right\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2} \lesssim M^{1-m} \|Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.58}$$

Again by the change of variable $\left(\xi,\, t^{'}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{\xi}{M},\, Mt^{'}\right)$ it suffices to show

$$\left\| \int e^{i(Mt-t^{'})\left(|\xi|^2+\frac{1}{M^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \psi(\xi) Q\widehat{\left(\frac{t^{'}}{M},\, \frac{\cdot}{M}\right)}(\xi)\, dt^{'}\, e^{iMx\cdot\xi}\, d\xi \right\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2} \lesssim M^{2-m} \|Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.59}$$

If we could prove for any Schwartz function

$$\|S_M G\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2} \lesssim \|G\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \tag{7.60}$$

with S_M defined in (7.48) then substituting q, r for ∞, 2 respectively in (7.49) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int e^{i(Mt-t^{'})\left(|\xi|^2+\frac{1}{M^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \psi(\xi) Q\widehat{\left(\frac{t^{'}}{M},\, \frac{\cdot}{M}\right)}(\xi)\, dt^{'}\, e^{iMx\cdot\xi}\, d\xi \right\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2} &\lesssim M^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}+\frac{d}{\tilde{r}}-\frac{d}{2}} \|Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{q}}} \\ &\lesssim M^{2-m} \|Q\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}} \end{aligned} \tag{7.61}$$

where in the last inequality we used (1.25) and (1.28). It remains to prove (7.60). By duality and composition with the trivial inequality $\|e^{it<D>} P^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\leq 4} f\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}$ it suffices to show (7.50), which has already been established.

References

[1] P.Brenner, *On space-time means and everywhere defined scattering operators for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations*, Math. Z. 186 (1984), 383-391

[2] P. Brenner, *On scattering and everywhere defined scattering operators for nonlinear Klein-Gordon Equations*, J. Differential Equations 56 (1985), 310-344

[3] M. Christ, A. Kisilev, *Maximal operators associated to filtrations*, J. Func. Anal. 179 (2001)

[4] J.Colliander, M.Keel, G.Staffilani, H.Takaoka, T.Tao, *Almost conservation laws and global rough solutions to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Math. Res. Letters 9 (2002), pp. 659-682

[5] J. Ginebre and G. Velo, *Time decay of finite energy solutions of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon and Schrödinger equation*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Phys Theor 43 (1985), 399-442

[6] M. Keel and T. Tao, *Endpoint Strichartz estimates*, Amer. J. Math, 120 (1998), 955-980

[7] H. Lindblad, C. D Sogge, *On existence and scattering with minimal regularity for semilinear wave equations*, J. Func.Anal 219 (1995), 227-252

[8] C. Morawetz, *Time decay for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 306 (1968), 291-296

[9] C. Morawetz and W. Strauss, *Decay and scattering of solutions of a nonlinear relativistic wave equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 25 (1972), pp 1-31

[10] K. Nakanishi, *Energy scattering for nonlinear Klein-Gordon and Schrödinger equations in spatial dimensions* 1 *and* 2, Journal of Functional Analysis 169 (1999), 201-225

[11] K. Nakanishi, *Remarks on the energy scattering for nonlinear Klein-Gordon and Schrodinger equations*, Tohoku Math J. 53 (2001), 285-303

[12] H. Smith, C.D. Sogge, *Global Strichartz estimates for nontrapping perturbations of the Laplacian*, Commun. PDE, 25 (2000), 2171-2183

[13] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 1993

[14] W. A. Strauss, *Nonlinear wave equations*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, no 73, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1989

[15] T. Roy, *Global well-posedness for the radial defocusing cubic wave equation on* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *and for rough data*, EJDE, 166, 2007, 1-22

[16] M. Visan and X. Zhang, *Global well-posedness and scattering for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equation below the energy space*, preprint, available at http://www.arxiv.org/abs/math.AP/0606611

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
E-mail address: `triroy@math.ucla.edu`